

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	· ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,084	03/18/2004	Taku Takaki	62758-074	4167	
MCDERMOT	7590 01/03/2007 T, WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER			
600 13th Street, N.W.			SMITH, SHEILA B		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	V MODE	
L	<u>.</u>			DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/03/2007			PAI	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/803	0/803,084 TAKAKI ET AL.					
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Sheila	B. Smith	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet t	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	IICATION. The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 05 October 2	006					
2a)□		b)⊠ This action is			,			
3)	Since this application is in condition f	•		tters prosecution as to th	e merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practic		-	•				
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·	• •					
	·	the application						
	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		oldoration.					
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	n requirement					
,	ion Papers		rroquii omoni.		•			
	•							
· ·	The specification is objected to by the							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			-				
	Applicant may not request that any objec							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority (	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority of			A 11 41 A1				
	2. Certified copies of the priority of							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			n received in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	` ','		,			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action	i for a list of the ce	ertified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					
			<del></del>					

Application/Control Number: 10/803,084

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-9,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hale et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,785,539) in view of Aoki (U.S. Patent Number 7,065,348).

Regarding claim 1, Hale et al. discloses all of the claimed invention as set forth in the instant application, additionally Hale et al. discloses a system and method of wirelessly triggering portable devices, further Hale et al. discloses a program (which reads on location code) received in and for execution by a mobile terminal device (10), wherein the mobile terminal device has a first communicator (which reads on a PDA as disclosed in column 6 lines 44-48) and disclosed in column 2 lines 39-60) that transmits and receives a wireless communication signal (which reads on column 6 lines 44-49), and a second communicator (which reads on 51 transmitter) that receives contents and does not transmit the wireless communication signal and a button (which reads on 55 user Inputs) and when the button is activated (which reads on column 1 lines 64-67), the program is received by the first communicator (which reads on column 3 lines 16-18). However Hale et al. fails to specifically disclose transmit and receives a wireless communication signal to and from a network base station.

In the same field of endeavor, Aoki discloses a communication system for providing information on position of communication party. Aoki discloses a mobile device (PDA) that transmit and receives a wireless communication signal to and from a network base station (which reads on column 5 lines 63-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Hale by modifying a system and method of wirelessly triggering portable devices with a mobile that transmit and receives a wireless communication signal to and from a network base station as taught by Aoki for the purpose of communicating with the network.

Regarding claim 2, Hale et al. discloses all of the claimed invention as set forth in the instant application, additionally Hale et al. discloses a system and method of wirelessly triggering portable devices, further Hale et al. discloses a signal processing system for a wireless communication signal that uses a mobile terminal device, a server, and a network base station transmitting the wireless communication signal, wherein the mobile terminal device has a network communicator, a content receiver and communication signal to the network base station for receiving predetermined contents transmitted from the server (which reads on column 2 lines 63-67), and predetermined contents (which reads on column 2 lines 63-67) transmitted from the server by the content receiver (which reads on mobile terminal device), a decision button (55). However Hale et al. fails to specifically disclose a wireless communication signal being unable to be transmitted.

The examiner contends however, that such a feature as wireless communication signal being unable to be transmitted is well known in the art and the examiner takes official notice as such.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hale et al. with the teaching of with a wireless communication signal being unable to be transmitted for the purpose of restricting calls as to not to interrupt the program that is running.

Regarding claim 3, Hale et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) in addition, Hale et al. discloses the wireless communication signal is a program for telephone communication used when the mobile terminal device makes telephone communication with other mobile terminal device (which reads on column 2 lines 55-60).

Regarding claim 4, Hale et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) in addition, Hale et al. discloses predetermined contents are transmitted in a place where the use of the mobile terminal device is limited, whereby the prevention of the use of the network communicator (which reads on PDA) is promoted, so that the image of an enterprise administering the system can be improved (which reads on column 3 lines 5-35).

Regarding claim 5, Hale et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) in addition, Hale et al. discloses predetermined contents are transmitted in a place where the use of the mobile terminal device is limited, whereby the prevention of the use of the mobile terminal device is promoted, so that rigid adherence to manners can be achieved (which reads on column 3 lines 5-35).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 6, Hale et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) in addition, Hale et al. discloses predetermined contents are transmitted in a place where the use of the mobile terminal device is limited, whereby the prevention of the use of the mobile terminal device is promoted, and the prevention of annoyance to other people and the rigid adherence to or compliance with manners are achieved, so that the image of an enterprise administering the system can be improved (which reads on column 3 lines 5-35).

Regarding claims 7-9, Hale et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) in addition, Hale et al. discloses displaying the name of a distributor of the program on a display screen of the mobile terminal device (which reads on column 2 lines 50-65).

Regarding claim 11, Hale et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) in addition, Hale et al. discloses a network communicator configured to transmit a wireless communication signal to a network based station (which reads on column 2 lines 47-62), a content receiver configured to receive a content transmitted from a server (which reads on column 3 lines 4-35); and a decision button configured to indicate a decision not to transmit a wireless communication signal to the network base station in exchange for receiving a content transmitted from the server (which reads on column 7 lines 3-35), wherein responsive to the decision button being activated, and the content transmitted from the server is received by the content receiver (which reads on column 7 lines 3-35). However Hale et al. fails to specifically disclose transmissions of the wireless communication signal to the network base station by the network communicator are prohibited.

Art Unit: 2617

The examiner contends however, that such a feature as transmissions of the wireless communication signal to the network base station by the network communicator are prohibited is well known in the art and the examiner takes official notice as such.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hale et al. with the teaching of with a transmissions of the wireless communication signal to the network base station by the network communicator are prohibited for the purpose of restricting calls as to not to interrupt the program that is running.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,084

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-7847. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 22, 2006

TEMICA BEAMER

Page 7

PRIMARY EXAMINER